



# Phoenix High School

667 W eden ave • Sunnyvale • 4088052487 • Grades 11-12

Vivian Rhone-Lay, Principal  
rhonelayv@esuhsd.org

## 2018-19 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2019-20 School Year



### East Side Union High School District

830 N. Capitol Avenue  
San Jose, CA 95133  
(408) 347-5000  
www.esuhsd.org

#### District Governing Board

Pattie Cortese

Lan Nguyen

Van Thi Le

J. Manuel Herrera

Lorena Chavez

#### District Administration

Chris D. Funk

**Superintendent**

Glenn Vander Zee

**Associate Superintendent  
Educational Services**

Chris Jew

**Associate Superintendent  
Business Services**

Dr. John Rubio

**Associate Superintendent  
Human Resources**

### School Description

Phoenix High School is specifically designed to offer an alternative education experience to students who have not been successful in other academic settings. Phoenix High School has a staff of four teachers, a secretary, and is supervised by Santa Teresa administrators who are all dedicated to meeting the needs of our students and to providing them with the opportunity to graduate on time through an intensive program utilizing core academic classes, independent studies, accredited online classes (Cyber High), vocational training, and community college classes. Phoenix High School is accredited through the Western Association of Schools and Colleges (WASC).

All Phoenix students will RISE, becoming Responsible, Inquisitive, Socially Adept, and Educationally sound individuals.

### Career Technical Education Programs

Phoenix High School offers the following Career Technical Education Programs. These programs integrate core academic knowledge with technical and occupational knowledge to provide students with a pathway to postsecondary education and careers.

- Silicon Valley CTE (SVCTE)
- Variety of afternoon courses that prepare high school students for future careers and workforce.
- Work Experience

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

### Types of Services Funded

Phoenix High School has a 0.2 FTE Academic Counselor, funded by LCAP funds. Phoenix High School also has access to LCAP funded benefits from the Santa Teresa High School campus (ie. 1.0 FTE Social Worker, 0.5 FTE Librarian, 1.0 FTE Parent Community Involvement Specialist, etc.)

## About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

### 2018-19 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 11	32
Grade 12	46
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>78</b>

### 2018-19 Student Enrollment by Group

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	3.8
Asian	3.8
Filipino	3.8
Hispanic or Latino	76.9
White	10.3
Two or More Races	1.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	56.4
English Learners	12.8
Students with Disabilities	1.3
Homeless	1.3

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials for Phoenix High School	17-18	18-19	19-20
With Full Credential	4	4	4
Without Full Credential	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0

Teacher Credentials for East Side Union High	17-18	18-19	19-20
With Full Credential	◆	◆	942.3
Without Full Credential	◆	◆	34.8
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	◆	◆	0

## Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at Phoenix High School

Indicator	17-18	18-19	19-20
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
<b>Total Teacher Misassignments*</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Vacant Teacher Positions</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

\* Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\*Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

## Professional Development provided for Teachers

Measure	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
<b>Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>34</b>

Professional development opportunities for staff members are multifaceted and clearly and consistently linked to the state's standards, district goals, the school's core values, and occur during the school year and summer break. Our school has a coherent, comprehensive plan for professional development that is data driven and directly linked to teaching and learning. Not only do teachers and staff participate in staff development opportunities at the school, but they also take advantage of multiple professional development opportunities at the District and throughout the country. In addition, many teachers take professional growth classes at local colleges and universities and attend workshops offered by the Santa Clara County Office of Education. BTSAs and new teacher orientation meetings support new instructors. The school has created and successfully implemented a collaboration model for professional development. School wide and departmental meetings are held regularly so that teachers can continue to work on professional development to support school-wide efforts to align curriculum with rigorous state content standards as well as to provide instructional support for literacy and differentiation to assure the achievement of all students.

To ensure a cycle of continuous improvement, professional development is personalized to address the needs of all subject-area teachers, staff, and administrators. Not only do professional development activities for teachers reflect a best practices approach, but they also align with the California Standards for the Teaching Profession. Teachers and staff participate in professional development that is aligned with their individual fields and district plans at multiple levels. At the school level, professional development is structured to have a generalized focus (e.g., higher order thinking, teaching, and learning, Common Core State Standards, etc.), and specific facets of the professional development program (e.g., specific instructional strategies) are personalized to address the specific content area dynamics and needs. The district also offers a multitude of professional development opportunities to broaden teachers' knowledge, enhance their classroom management skills, and augment their repertoire of best practices instructional strategies.

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2019-20)

### Textbooks and Instructional Materials

Year and month in which data were collected: October 2019

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	<p>English 1 – “The Language of Literature” Grade 9 McDougal Littell 2002                      English 2 – “The Language of Literature” Grade 10 McDougal Littell 2002                      English 3 –MyPerspectives: American Literature//Pearson                      ERWC (English 4)-- Expository Reading and Writing Course Student Reader</p> <p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes  <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0%</p>
Mathematics	<p>CCSS Math 1 – "Big Ideas Integrated Mathematics I," Big Ideas Learning, LLC 2016                      CCSS Math 2 – "Big Ideas Integrated Mathematics II," Big Ideas Learning, LLC 2016                      CCSS Math 3 – "Big Ideas Integrated Mathematics III," Big Ideas Learning, LLC 2016</p> <p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes  <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0%</p>
Science	<p>NGSS Biology - The Living Earth -- STEMscopes, eTextbook and Web-based resources                      NGSS Chemistry in Earth's System – STEMscopes, eTextbook and Web-Based resources (pilot)</p> <p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes  <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0%</p>
History-Social Science	<p>World History – “Modern World History” McDougal-Littell 2003                      US History – “The American Vision” Glencoe/McGraw Hill 2006                      American Government – "Government Alive! Power, Politics and You" TCI 2014                      Economics – “Econ Alive! The Power to Choose" TCI 2015</p> <p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes  <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0%</p>
Foreign Language	<p>Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted</p> <p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes  <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0%</p>
Health	<p>Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted</p> <p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes  <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0%</p>
Visual and Performing Arts	<p>Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted</p> <p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes  <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0%</p>
Science Laboratory Equipment	<p>Science labs are adequately equipped</p> <p><b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes  <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0%</p>

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

#### Overview

The District makes every effort to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the district uses a facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction. The results of this survey are available at the school office and at the district office.

#### Cleaning Process and Schedule

The district’s Board of Trustees has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. The Leadership Team works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

**Deferred Maintenance Budget**

The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floors systems.

**Modernization Projects**

Phoenix High School is located in four portable buildings. During the 2017-18 school year, a science portable with restrooms for the students and staff was installed. In addition, the former Phoenix High School parking lot was renovated into a beautifully landscaped courtyard and the adjacent basketball courts were converted into a new parking lot, accessible to Phoenix High School students and staff.

Despite its age, the school facilities are maintained in a good state of repair. The facilities are clean, safe, and adequate for providing a quality education for all students. All classrooms provide adequate space for teaching and learning. Lighting in all areas is adequate for instruction, and there is a suitable HVAC system in place. The fire alarm system has been refurbished with a new control panel. Phoenix has a good technology infrastructure.

**School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)**  
**Year and month in which data were collected: 09/13/2019**

System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	Good	
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Good	
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	Good	
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Good	Found floor in restroom soft near toilet, carpentry shop is working on repairs.
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	
<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Good</b>	No major issues noted.

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

### CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

#### Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 17-18	School 18-19	District 17-18	District 18-19	State 17-18	State 18-19
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: This is a placeholder for the California Science Test (CAST) which was administered operationally during the 2018-19 school year. However, these data are not available for inclusion in the 2018-19 SARC posting due February 1, 2020. These data will be included in the 2019-20 SARC posting due February 1, 2021.

### CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students

#### Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

#### Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 17-18	School 18-19	District 17-18	District 18-19	State 17-18	State 18-19
ELA	5	3	59	59	50	50
Math	0	0	38	39	38	39

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

### School Year 2018-19 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)

#### Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	33	29	87.88	3.45
Male	27	23	85.19	4.35
Female	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	28	25	89.29	0.00
White	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	22	20	90.91	0.00
English Learners	--	--	--	--

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**School Year 2018-19 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**  
**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	33	30	90.91	0.00
Male	27	24	88.89	0.00
Female	--	--	--	--
American Indian or Alaska Native	--	--	--	--
Asian	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	28	26	92.86	0.00
White	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	22	22	100.00	0.00
English Learners	--	--	--	--

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3--Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**C. Engagement**

**State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

**Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2019-20)**

At the time of the initial enrollment (orientation), a parent is required to attend a conference with the Associate Principal to discuss the expectations of all parties involved (parent, student and staff). The student's Personal Learning Plan is discussed with the student and parent to identify the specific needs that will have to be addressed in order for the student to reach his/her graduation goal, such as attending vocational training classes, night schools, and college classes. At the end of each six-week grading period, the homeroom teacher, student, and parent(s) hold a conference to discuss the student's academic progress and any other specific needs the student may have. Phoenix parents and guardians are encouraged to be a part of the School Site Council and to volunteer during many of the Phoenix events (including sports competitions and field trips).

**State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

**School Safety Plan**

Phoenix has a very detailed, comprehensive safety plan that outlines protocols, systems, and procedures in the event of any/all emergencies. This plan also contains the yearly safety goals as determined by the students, staff, and parents. The Safety Plan is developed in conjunction with the Santa Teresa Safety Committee and reviewed by the School Site Council and District Safety Committee before it is presented to the East Side Union High School District Board of Trustees for adoption. The Safety Plan and drill procedures are reviewed during the year with all staff. Safety alerts are shared with all staff as needed throughout the school year. In addition, all required drills are calendared and completed and the results are communicated to all staff. Phoenix's campus is supervised by administration, advisors, campus monitor, one on-campus San Jose Police Officer, and certificated staff during school day hours.

The Phoenix High School Site Safety Plan is in compliance with district policies that govern all school sites in developing, implementing a comprehensive, enforceable, and continuous living document that deals with Behavior policy, Rules and regulations, Dress code, Tardy, Attendance, Referral process, Multi-service team, Safety team and Protocols for safety/emergency drills.

We have partnerships with community agencies, City of San Jose, San Jose Police Department, and other Safety and Mental Health agencies and groups that offer support services.

Suspensions and Expulsions for the School	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	9.7	8.3	8.8
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0

Suspensions and Expulsions for the District	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	4.0	3.6	3.4
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.0

Suspensions and Expulsions for the State	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	3.6	3.5	3.5
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

#### D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

##### Ratio of Academic Counselors to Pupils (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Ratio
Academic Counselor*	390.0

\* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

##### Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2018-19)

Title	Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.2
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	

\* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

##### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Subject	2016-17	2016-17	2016-17	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18	2017-18	2017-18	2018-19	2018-19	2018-19	2018-19
	Average Class Size	# of Classes* Size 1-22	# of Classes* Size 23-32	# of Classes* Size 33+	Average Class Size	# of Classes* Size 1-22	# of Classes* Size 23-32	# of Classes* Size 33+	Average Class Size	# of Classes* Size 1-22	# of Classes* Size 23-32	# of Classes* Size 33+
English	20	2	2		21	3	1		24	2	2	
Mathematics					18	4			16	5		
Science	16	4			20	2	1		17	4		
Social Science	21	4			20	3	1		21	2	2	

\* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

**FY 2017-18 Teacher and Administrative Salaries**

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$55,349	\$52,466
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$90,881	\$87,373
Highest Teacher Salary	\$112,154	\$109,803
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$0	\$
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$0	\$142,025
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$146,855	\$153,904
Superintendent Salary	\$286,275	\$241,221

Percent of District Budget	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Teacher Salaries	34%	33%
Administrative Salaries	3%	5%

\* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at [www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/](http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/).

**Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)**

Rate for Phoenix High School	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Dropout Rate	50	23.7	22.5
Graduation Rate	46.7	55.3	57.5

Rate for East Side Union High School	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Dropout Rate	10	20.5	17.8
Graduation Rate	85	71.5	75.7

Rate for California	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Dropout Rate	9.7	9.1	9.6
Graduation Rate	83.8	82.7	83

For the formula to calculate the 2016-17 and 2017-18 adjusted cohort graduation rate, see the 2018-19 Data Element Definitions document located on the SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.

**Career Technical Education Participation**

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	21
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	100%
% of CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	0%

**FY 2017-18 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries**

Level	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$7,605	\$0	\$7,605	\$81,122
District	N/A	N/A	\$7,444	\$90,756
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,506.64	\$88,538.00

Percent Differences	Unrestricted	Average Teacher Salary
School Site/District	2.1	-11.2
School Site/ State	10.8	-2.1

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.

**Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission**

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2018-19 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	100
2017-18 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0

**2018-19 Advanced Placement Courses**

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		N/A
English		N/A
Fine and Performing Arts		N/A
Foreign Language		N/A
Mathematics		N/A
Science		N/A
Social Science		N/A
All courses		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

\*Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.